

Patent Attorney's Docket No. <u>003780-052</u>

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| In re Pa | tent Application of | | | | | |
|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Ulf VELTEN et al | |) Group Art Unit: 1714 | | | | |
| Applicat | ion No.: 09/819,793 | Examiner: Pater A. Szekely . RECEIVED | | | | |
| Filed: N | March 29, 2001 | MAR 1 0 co. | | | | |
| | POLYMERS FOR CEMENT DISPERSING ADMIXTURE | Confirmation No.: 2640 GROUP 1700 | | | | |
| | RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUI | REMENT TRANSMITTAL LETTER | | | | |
| | nt Commissioner for Patents gton, D.C. 20231 | | | | | |
| Sir: | | | | | | |
| Enc applicati | closed is a Response to Restriction Requion. | irement for the above-identified patent | | | | |
| [] | A Petition for Extension of Time is also enclosed. | | | | | |
| [] | A Terminal Disclaimer and the [] \$55.00 (2814) [] \$110.00 (1814) fee due under 37 C.F.R. § 1.20(d) are also enclosed. | | | | | |
| [] | Also enclosed is/are | | | | | |
| [] | Small entity status is hereby claimed. | | | | | |
| [] | Applicant(s) request continued examination under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 and enclose the [] \$375.00 (2801) [] \$750.00 (1801) fee due under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e). | | | | | |
| | [] Applicant(s) previously submitted is requested. | , on, for which continued examination | | | | |
| [] | Applicant(s) request suspension of action by the Office until at least, which does not exceed three months from the filing of this RCE, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.103(c). The required fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i) is enclosed. | | | | | |
| [] | A Request for Entry and Consideration of Submission under 37 C.F.R. § 1.129(a) (1809/2809) is also enclosed. | | | | | |
| [X] | No additional claim fee is required. | | | | | |
| [] | An additional claim fee is required, and | d is calculated as shown below: | | | | |

| AMENDED CLAIMS | | | | | |
|--|------------------|--|-----------------|--------------------|---------------|
| | No. Of CLAIMS | HIGHEST NO. OF CLAIMS PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR | EXTRA CLAIMS | RATE | ADDT'L FEE |
| Total Claims | 38 | MINUS 38 = | -0- | × \$18.00 (1202) = | -0- |
| Independent Claims | 1 | MINUS 3 = | -0- | × \$84.00 (1201) = | -0- |
| If Amendment adds multiple dependent claims, add \$280.00 (1203) | | | | | |
| Total Amendment Fee | | | | | |
| If small entity status is claimed, subtract 50% of Total Amendment Fee | | | | | |
| TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE DUE FOR THIS AMENDMENT | | | | | |

| [|] | A claim fee in the | e amount of <u>\$</u> | is enclosed. |
|---|---|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| ſ | 1 | Charge \$ | to Deposit Account | No. 02-4800. |

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any appropriate fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16, 1.17, 1.20(d) and 1.21 that may be required by this paper, and to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 02-4800. This paper is submitted in duplicate.

Respectfully submitted,

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.

Зу: __

Malk L. Warzel

Registration No. 47,264

P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404 (703) 836-6620

Date: March 14, 2003



Patent Attorney's Docket No. <u>003780-052</u>

| IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| In re A | application of |) | GROW 8 7002 | | |
| UIf VE | LTEN et al |) | Group Art Unit: 1714 | | |
| Applic | ation Serial No. 09/819,793 |) | Examiner: Peter A. Szekely | | |
| Filed: | March 29, 2001 |) | | | |
| For: | POLYMERS FOR CEMENT DISPERSING |) | Confirmation No. 2640 | | |

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

ADMIXTURE

Sir:

In complete response to the Official Action (Restriction Requirement) mailed on February 14, 2003, in connection with the above-identified application, Applicants elect with traverse, Group I, claims 1-14 and 20-38, directed to an acrylic polymer.

Applicants respectfully traverse the requirement for restriction for at least the following reasons.

In accordance with MPEP § 803, an application may be properly restricted to one or more claimed inventions only if (1) the inventions are independent or distinctly claimed, and (2) there is a serious burden on the Examiner if restriction is not required. Thus, even if appropriate reasons exist for requiring restriction, such a requirement should not be made unless there is a serious burden on the Examiner to examine all of the claims in a single application.

In this regard, it is respectfully submitted that the search required for the claims of Group II would be substantially co-extensive with the search for the claims of Group I since the claims all require the presence of the acrylic polymer of claim 1. It is further noted that the mortar, concrete, cement, or cementitious binder claims (*i.e.*, claims 15-19) depend either

Serial No. 09/819,793 Attorney Docket 003780-052

directly or indirectly from the claim 1 (drawn to the acrylic polymer). A substantial overlap in the

examination involved for Groups I and II is therefore present such that the claims are best

examined together in the same application rather than in a separate divisional application.

In the Official Action, it is asserted that the claims of Groups I and II are related as

mutually exclusive species in an "intermediate-final product relationship". As stated in the

MPEP at § 806.04(b), Applicants respectfully note that for a restriction on this basis to be

considered proper, typically the "intermediate loses its identity in the final product". In the

present case, there is no apparent basis, however, to conclude that the intermediate product

(i.e., the acrylic polymer) would necessarily lose its identity in the final product (i.e., the mortar,

concrete, cement, or cementitious binder). Absent some reason to consider that the acrylic

polymer would lose its identity, e.g., through a chemical reaction, such that the final product

does not show the characteristics of the intermediate product, a proper basis for requiring a

restriction based on an intermediate-final product relationship does not exist.

For at least the foregoing reasons, withdrawal of the Restriction Requirement and

examination of pending claims 1-38 are respectfully requested.

If any issues remain outstanding, or should there be any questions concerning the

above remarks or the application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the

undersigned at (703) 836-6620.

Respectfully submitted,

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.

Registration No. 47,264

P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, VA 22313-1404 (703) 836-6620

Date: March 14, 2003